

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \*\*\*

4 FRANCISCO ORTIZ, and FABIOLA NUNEZ-  
5 MAGANA,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

2:14-cv-01838-GMN-VCF

**ORDER**

10 Before the court is Cal J. Potter, III, Esq.'s, C.J. Potter, IV, Esq.'s, and Potter Law Offices' Motion  
11 to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs (#19). Plaintiffs have not informed their counsel of their  
12 current whereabouts. Plaintiffs have failed to communicate and update their contact information with  
13 their counsel which resulted in counsel being unable to reach Plaintiffs. No hearing is currently scheduled  
14 in this matter. Discovery closes on November 9, 2015. (#16). Trial has not been set.

15 **Discussion:**

16 Under Local Rule 7-2(d), [t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response  
17 to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion. Here, no opposition has been filed  
18 and the time to oppose has passed.

19 Pursuant to Local Rule IA 10-6(b), “[n]o attorney may withdraw after appearing in a case except  
20 by leave of [c]ourt after notice has been served on the affected client and opposing counsel.” “Except for  
21 good cause shown, no withdrawal or substitution shall be approved if delay of discovery, the trial or any  
22 hearing in the case would result.” LR IA 10-6(e). Nevada Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(b)(5)  
23 provides that a lawyer may withdraw if the “client fails substantially to fulfill an obligation to the lawyer  
24 regarding the lawyer’s services and has been given reasonable warning that the lawyer will withdraw  
25 unless the obligation is fulfilled.” Here, based on Plaintiffs' counsel’s representation that Plaintiffs have

1 failed to communicate with their counsel, the Court permits counsel to withdraw. LR IA 10-6(b); NRPC  
2 1.16(b)(5). The Court finds that permitting counsel to withdraw would not result in delay. LR IA 10-  
3 6(e).

4 Accordingly, and for good cause shown,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Cal J. Potter, III, Esq.'s, C.J. Potter, IV, Esq.'s, and Potter Law  
6 Offices' Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs (#19) is GRANTED.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court will mail a copy of this order to Plaintiffs  
8 at the following address:

9  
10 Francisco Ortiz  
11 Fabiola Nunez-Magana  
12 104 Palatial Pines Ave.  
13 North Las Vegas, NV 89031

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs Francisco Ortiz and Fabiola Nunez-Magana must  
15 either retain counsel or file a notice of appearing *pro se* within 30 days from the entry of this order.

16 The Plaintiffs must file with the Court written notification of any change of address.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED this 14th day of September, 2015.

19  
20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 CAM FERENBACH  
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
24  
25